

Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Reduction Estimates for Surface Controlled Gas Lift

Joel Shaw ALRDC Artificial Lift Workshop February 28th – March 3rd, 2022



This presentation discusses an estimation of Greenhouse Gas emission reduction when using surface controlled gas lift valves over conventional gas lift valves. It will cover:

- Carbon Intensity Definition
- Surface Controlled Gas Lift Definition
- How to Improve Carbon Intensity
- Assumptions, Variables, and Derivations
- Results
- Conclusions



Carbon Footprint Reduction

For this conversation:

Carbon Intensity is the mass (kg) CO_2 produced per Barrel of Oil lifted.

Carbon Intensity

- Indicator of GHG (Greenhouse Gas) emissions
- Defined as the amount of CO₂ produced per barrel of oil lifted

GOAL: Reduce Carbon Intensity while maintaining profitability

As this goal relates to gas lift:

- Reduce Gas Injection
- Increase Production

3

Artificial Lit R&D Counc



Surface Controlled Gas Lift

Surface Controlled Gas Lift:

- Controlling downhole gas injection depth and rate from the surface
 Often used in conjunction with downhole monitoring

Types of Control:

- **Electric**: 1 line to control multiple GL Valves, can include pressure/temp monitoring, small surface footprint, direct feedback on valve status / position
- Hydraulic: Usually 2+ or N+1 hydraulic line depending on technology, no integral pressure/temp, surface system can be more complex, no direct feedback on valve status / position

Hydraulic/Electric: combination of the two

Туре	Control Lines	Pressure / Temp	Surface Footprint	Surface Complexity / Maintenance	Position Sensing	Inde- pendent Control	Adjustable Choking
Electric	1	Optional	Small	Low	Typically	Yes	Yes
Hydraulic	2+ or N+1	No	Medium / Large	Medium	No	Maybe	Technology Dependent



Carbon Intensity Reduction and Surface Controlled Gas Lift

 $Carbon \ Intensity = \frac{CO_2 \ Production}{Oil \ Production}$

Reducing Carbon Intensity requires <u>Decreasing CO₂ Production</u> or <u>Increasing</u> <u>Oil Production</u>. Surface controlled gas lift <u>does BOTH</u>.

CO₂ Production Decrease:

- Placing gas at optimal depth
- Precise choke sizing (eliminates over injection)
- Maintaining full gas pressure to injection point

Oil Production Increase:

- Deeper injection
- Precise injection rates
- Better reservoir models
- Optimization!!!



Simple Quantification of Carbon Intensity Reduction

What this **IS NOT:**

- Absolute Carbon Intensity calculator: would depend on specifics such as power source, compressor efficiencies, etc.
- All encompassing: does not account for reduced workovers, changes in infrastructure for reduced gas requirements and pressures, lower pressure compressors, energy wasted at surface gas flow regulator, etc.

What this **IS**:

- A SIMPLE way to quantify RELATIVE Carbon Intensity Reduction in gas lifted wells
- A calculation using only basic information from well/reservoir modeling



Assumptions

- CO₂ production is linear with amount of gas compressed and subsequently injected:
 - Ignores reduced pressure requirements
 - Ignores reduced gas infrastructure requirements
 - Independent of gas type because it is recirculated
- CO₂ production due to workover of conventional gas lift is minimal relative to ongoing gas compression/injection
 - The CO₂ impact of rigging up and working over a well is much less than the CO₂ produced from ongoing compression over the life of the well and thus is left out of the analysis
 - Ignoring workovers leads to a more conservative estimate of CO₂ intensity calculations

Variables

Variable	Description
C _i	Initial Carbon Intensity
C _{rr}	Reduction ratio in Carbon Intensity (reduction in Carbon Intensity divided by initial Carbon Intensity)
C _{r%}	Percentage reduction in Carbon Intensity
C _f	final Carbon Intensity
Ei	initial CO2 exhaust rate
Er	reduction in CO2 exhaust rate
E _f	final CO2 exhaust rate
Err	reduction ratio in CO2 exhaust rate (reduction in CO2 exhaust divided by initial CO2 exhaust, often given as percentage instead of a ratio)

riable	Description
E _{r%}	percentage reduction in CO2 emissions
G _{rr}	reduction ratio in lift gas utilized, the first assumption implies that this is equal to Err
G _{r%}	percentage reduction of lift gas used, the first assumption implies that this is equal to Er%
Pi	initial oil production rate
Pu	uptick in production rate
P _f	final oil production rate
P _{ur}	production rate uptick ratio (increase in oil production divided by initial oil production, often given as a percentage instead of a ratio)
P _{u%}	percentage uptick in oil production



ALRDC



Base Equation Definitions

Equation Definition	Equation Number
$C_i = \frac{E_i}{P_i}$	EQ 1
$E_f = E_i - E_r$	EQ 2
$P_f = P_i + P_u$	EQ 3
$C_f = \frac{E_f}{P_f}$	EQ 4
$E_{rr} = \frac{E_r}{E_i}$	EQ 5
$P_{ur} = \frac{P_u}{P_i}$	EQ 6
$C_{rr} = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i}$	EQ 7
$G_{rr} = E_{rr}$	EQ 8
$G_{r\%} = E_{r\%}$	EQ 9
	9 01/14/20



Derivation of Carbon Intensity % Reduction

Explanation	Operation	Equation Number
Simplifying	$C_{rr} = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i} = 1 - \frac{C_f}{C_i}$	EQ 10
Substituting EQ 1 AND EQ 4	$C_{rr} = 1 - \frac{(\frac{E_f}{P_f})}{(\frac{E_i}{P_i})}$	EQ 11
Substituting EQ 2 AND EQ 3	$C_{rr} = 1 - \frac{(\frac{E_i - E_r}{P_i + P_u})}{(\frac{E_i}{P_i})}$	EQ 12
Rearranging	$C_{rr} = 1 - \left(\frac{E_i - E_r}{P_i + P_u}\right) * \left(\frac{P_i}{E_i}\right)$	EQ 13
and	$C_{rr} = 1 - \left(\frac{E_i - E_r}{E_i}\right) * \left(\frac{P_i}{P_i + P_u}\right)$	EQ 14
and	$C_{rr} = 1 - \frac{\left(\frac{E_i - E_r}{E_i}\right)}{\left(\frac{P_i + P_u}{P_i}\right)}$	EQ 15
and	$C_{rr} = 1 - \frac{\left(1 - \frac{E_r}{E_i}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{P_u}{P_i}\right)}$	EQ 16
Substituting EQ 5 and EQ 6	$C_{rr} = 1 - \frac{(1 - E_{rr})}{(1 + P_{ur})}$	EQ 17
If preferable, both sides can be multiplied by 100% to yield	$C_{r_{\%}} = 100\% - 100\% * \frac{(100\% - E_{r_{\%}})}{(100\% + P_{u_{\%}})}$	EQ 18



Derivation of Final Carbon Intensity

Explanation	Operation	Equation Number
Substituting EQ 10 into EQ 19 for C _{rr}	$1 - \frac{C_f}{C_i} = 1 - \frac{(1 - G_{rr})}{(1 + P_{ur})}$	EQ 21
Subtracting 1 from each side yields	$-\frac{C_f}{C_i} = -\frac{(1 - G_{rr})}{(1 + P_{ur})}$	EQ 22
Multiplying both sides by -C _i	$C_f = C_i \frac{(1 - G_{rr})}{(1 + P_{ur})}$	EQ 23





Results

- Table shows the percent reduction in carbon intensity realized vs percent reduction in injected gas and percent increase in production
- Derived using EQ 18 in the table below

Carbon Intensity Reduction Ratio	$C_{rr} = 1 - \frac{(1 - G_{rr})}{(1 + P_{ur})}$	EQ 17
Carbon Intensity Reduction Percentage	$C_{r\%} = 100\% - 100\% * \frac{(100\% - G_{r\%})}{(100\% + P_{u\%})}$	EQ 18
Final Carbon Intensity Value	$C_f = C_i \frac{(1 - G_{rr})}{(1 + P_{ur})}$	EQ 23

CO2 Poduction		Reduction in Injection										
COZRE	uuction	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%
	0%	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%
	2%	2%	7%	12%	17%	22%	26%	31%	36%	41%	46%	51%
	4%	4%	9%	13%	18%	23%	28%	33%	38%	42%	47%	52%
	6%	6%	10%	15%	20%	25%	29%	34%	39%	43%	48%	53%
	8%	7%	12%	17%	21%	26%	31%	35%	40%	44%	49%	54%
	10%	9%	14%	18%	23%	27%	32%	36%	41%	45%	50%	55%
	12%	11%	15%	20%	24%	29%	33%	38%	42%	46%	51%	55%
	14%	12%	17%	21%	25%	30%	34%	39%	43%	47%	52%	56%
	16%	14%	18%	22%	27%	31%	35%	40%	44%	48%	53%	57%
E	18%	15%	19%	24%	28%	32%	36%	41%	45%	49%	53%	58%
ctio	20%	17%	21%	25%	29%	33%	38%	42%	46%	50%	54%	58%
np	22%	18%	22%	26%	30%	34%	39%	43%	47%	51%	55%	59%
Pro	<mark>24%</mark>	19%	23%	27%	31%	35%	40%	44%	48%	52%	56%	60%
Ë	26%	21%	25%	29%	33%	37%	40%	44%	48%	52%	56%	60%
ase	28%	22%	26%	30%	34%	38%	41%	45%	49%	53%	57%	61%
JCre	30%	23%	27%	31%	35%	38%	42%	46%	50%	54%	58%	62%
-	32%	24%	28%	32%	36%	39%	43%	47%	51%	55%	58%	62%
	34%	25%	29%	33%	37%	40%	44%	48%	51%	55%	59%	63%
	36%	26%	30%	34%	38%	41%	45%	49%	52%	56%	60%	63%
	38%	28%	31%	35%	38%	42%	46%	49%	53%	57%	60%	64%
	40%	29%	32%	36%	39%	43%	46%	50%	54%	57%	61%	64%
	42%	30%	33%	37%	40%	44%	47%	51%	54%	58%	61%	65%
	44%	31%	34%	38%	41%	44%	48%	51%	55%	58%	62%	65%
	46%	32%	35%	38%	42%	45%	49%	52%	55%	59%	62%	66%
	48%	32%	36%	39%	43%	46%	49%	53%	56%	59%	63%	66%
	50%	33%	37%	40%	43%	47%	50%	53%	57%	60%	63%	67%



Carbon Intensity Reduction Example

Case Study: "DIAL System's Digital Capabilities Enable Lift Gas Efficiency and Production Increase for Middle East Operator" Silverwell website

- Example of Carbon Intensity reduction calculations applied to a previous Case Study
- Very basic completion (single drop Surface Controlled Gas Lift Valve vs. multi drop)
- Surface Controlled Gaslift System allowed the operator to adjust injection rates and monitor downhole pressure conditions
- Optimized gas injection resulted in 18% production increase and 25% reduction in gas injected
- Calculations show
 36% REDUCTION IN CARBON INTENSITY





Conclusions

- Well modeling can be used to predict performance improvements when utilizing surface control for gas lift:
 - Increased Production
 - Reduced Gas Injection
- In addition to increased profits, surface controlled gas injection reduces ongoing Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Using basic assumptions, performance improvement data can be used to estimate Carbon Intensity reduction
- This is a conservative estimate: does not include reduced workovers, trips to well, and infrastructure reduction
- Details on this derivation can be found as a white paper on <u>www.SilverwellEnergy.com</u> under resources – technical papers



Acknowledgements/Thanks & Questions

I would like to thank:

- ALRDC for the opportunity to present
- Silverwell for the opportunity to be a part in developing technologies that carry the oil industry into the future
- The oil industry for the strides it continues to make towards more efficient use of our Earth's resources with less impact to the environment

Questions? Comments?



Copyright

Rights to this presentation are owned by the company(ies) and/or author(s) listed on the title page. By submitting this presentation to the Gas-Lift Workshop, they grant to the Workshop, the Artificial Lift Research and Development Council (ALRDC) rights to:

- Display the presentation at the Workshop.
- Place it on the <u>www.alrdc.com</u> website, with access to the site to be as directed by the Workshop Steering Committee.
- Links to presentations on ALRDC's social media accounts.
- Place it on a USB/CD for distribution and/or sale as directed by the Workshop Steering Committee.

Other uses of this presentation are prohibited without the expressed written permission of the company(ies) and/or author(s) who own it and the Workshop Steering Committee.



Disclaimer

The following disclaimer shall be included as the last page of a Technical Presentation or Artificial Lift Learning Course. A similar disclaimer is included on the Artificial Lift Workshop webpage.

The Artificial Lift Research and Development Council and its officers and trustees, and the Artificial Lift Workshop Steering Committee members, and their supporting organizations and companies (here-in-after referred to as the Sponsoring Organizations), and the author(s) of this Technical Presentation or Artificial Lift Learning Course and their company(ies), provide this presentation and/or training material at the Artificial Lift Workshop "as is" without any warranty of any kind, express or implied, as to the accuracy of the information or the products or services referred to by any presenter (in so far as such warranties may be excluded under any relevant law) and these members and their companies will not be liable for unlawful actions and any losses or damage that may result from use of any presentation as a consequence of any inaccuracies in, or any omission from, the information which therein may be contained.

The views, opinions, and conclusions expressed in these presentations and/or training materials are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Sponsoring Organizations. The author is solely responsible for the content of the materials.

The Sponsoring Organizations cannot and do not warrant the accuracy of these documents beyond the source documents, although we do make every attempt to work from authoritative sources. The Sponsoring Organizations provide these presentations and/or training materials as a service. The Sponsoring Organizations make no representations or warranties, express or implied, with respect to the presentations and/or training materials, or any part thereof, including any warrantees of title, non-infringement of copyright or patent rights of others, merchantability, or fitness or suitability for any purpose.