

2021 Gas Lift Virtual Workshop

June 7-11, 2021

Intermittent Gas Lift

A. Stan Logie Logie Gas Lift Consulting, LLC



Agenda

- Overview of Intermittent gas lift (IGL)
- Injection control methods: intermittent gas lift
- Differences: Gas-Assisted Plunger Lift (GAPL) and IGL
- Intermittent Gas Lift Equipment
- Optimization benefits of intermittent gas lift
- Key takeaways

OVERVIEW

INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT

Intermittent gas lift conditions:

- Production on continuous gas flow <350 BFPD
- Need to reduce the injection GLR
- Other methods of lift are uneconomic
- Low GLR plunger lift not feasible
- FBHP cannot support vertical flowing gradient

Intermittent gas lift, what is it?

- Method of controlled gas injection
- Requires high instantaneous gas volumes
- An "on-off" need for high-pressure gas
- Default lift method to continuous flow
- Method where a packer is essential



Description of the intermittent cycle for choke control

Intermittent Gas Lift Operation Description



6

GAS INJECTION CONTROL METHODS

INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT

7

Time cycle intermittent injection control

- Controller opens and closes gas injection
- Injection delivered consistently each injection cycle
- Motor Valve: pneumatic or electronic actuator
- Intermittent cycle controlled at the surface
- Intermitter controls rate, injection duration, frequency

Surface injection choke control

- "Fixed" or "adjustable choke"
- IGL influenced by IPR and valve
- Daily injection frequency cycles are controlled
- Casing-tubing annulus used as gas storage
- IPO or a Pilot-operated valve used

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GAS-ASSISTED PLUNGER LIFT (GAPL)

AND INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT (IGL)

GAPL & IGL

Intermittent Flow

GAPL

- Operates with a screen orifice on bottom in conjunction with a flow through bypass plunger
- Relies on the pressure differential created between the reservoir and the wellbore. A surface flowline valve is closed and opened to initiate an intermittent upward cyclical movement

IGL

- Operates with an Injection Pressure Operated (IPO) gas lift valve on bottom
- Relies solely on the expansion of high pressure injected gas to propel a liquid slug, above the point of injection to the surface
- No flowline shut in devices or surface flow controller is used

INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT EQUIPMENT

Injection Pressure Operated Valve (IPO)

Valve Mechanics



External Ports

- Original CAMCO valve design
- Bellows is heart of valve
 - Welded bellows housing instead of just O-ring
- 316SS Material
- Multiple port sizes 3/16" 5/16"

GAS LIFT INSTALLATION WITH SIDE-POCKET MANDREL

RECOMMENDED FOR INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT INSTALLATIONS

Advantages of a Side-Pocket Mandrel

- Cost savings: valves installed without intervention
- Conversion ease from continuous to intermittent
- IPO or a Pilot-operated valve interchangeable
- Wells produced to less than 15 stb/d
- Economically feasible option to rod-pump

WHY AN IPO VALVE IS USED INSTEAD OF A SCREEN ORIFICE FOR INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT

(BOTTOM OPERATING GAS LIFT VALVE)

Reasons not to use a screen orifice

- Screen orifice port size too small
- Surges and slugging effects are intensified
- Higher FBHP across the screen orifice
- Above issues are worsened with depths

OPTIMIZATION BENEFITS

INTERMITTENT GAS LIFT

Optimization benefits of Intermittent Gas Lift

- Maximum production at reduced injection volumes
- Maximum drawdown at lowest wellbore pressure
- Enhanced Liquid slug recovery per cycle
- Increased lift efficiency
- Minimize operating costs



Gas Lift Design Production Rate = 60.00 bbl/d - Operating Injection Rate = 0.50 mmscf/d



SNAP: System Nodal Analysis Program

Gas Lift Design Production Rate = 60.00 bbl/d - Operating Injection Rate = 0.50 mmscf/d



Benefits of Intermittent gas lift:

- Increased reservoir drawdown and maximized production
- Reduced Lease Operating Expense
- Maintained with little user intervention
- Less lift gas volume needed
- Intermittent lift has few moving parts

Key Takeaways Intermittent gas lift (IGL)

- GAPL installations are inefficient
- Intermittent installation carry IPO/PPO valves
- An installed packer is essential
- IGL is more efficient than GAPL
- Significantly less injection volumes using IGL
- Studies show production gains using IGL

Copyright

Rights to this presentation are owned by the company(ies) and/or author(s) listed on the title page. By submitting this presentation to the Gas Lift Virtual Workshop, they grant to the Workshop, and the Artificial Lift Research and Development Council (ALRDC) rights to:

- Display the presentation at the Workshop.
- Place the presentation on the <u>www.alrdc.com</u> web site, with access to the site to be as directed by the Workshop Steering Committee.
- Place the presentation on a CD for distribution and/or sale as directed by the Workshop Steering Committee.

Other uses of this presentation are prohibited without the expressed written permission of the company(ies) and/or author(s).

25

Artificial Lift

R&D Counci

Disclaimer

The following disclaimer shall be included as the last page of a Technical Presentation or Continuing Education Course. A similar disclaimer is included on the front page of the ALRDC Gas Lift Virtual Workshop Web Site.

The Artificial Lift Research and Development Council and its officers and trustees, and the Gas Lift Virtual Workshop Steering Committee members, and their supporting organizations and companies (here-in-after referred to as the Sponsoring Organizations), and the author(s) of this Technical Presentation or Continuing Education Training Course and their company(ies), provide this presentation and/or training material at the Gas Lift Virtual Workshop"as is" without any warranty of any kind, express or implied, as to the accuracy of the information or the products or services referred to by any presenter (in so far as such warranties may be excluded under any relevant law) and these members and their companies will not be liable for unlawful actions and any losses or damage that may result from use of any presentation as a consequence of any inaccuracies in, or any omission from, the information which therein may be contained.

The views, opinions, and conclusions expressed in these presentations and/or training materials are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Sponsoring Organizations. The author is solely responsible for the content of the materials.

The Sponsoring Organizations cannot and do not warrant the accuracy of these documents beyond the source documents, although we do make every attempt to work from authoritative sources. The Sponsoring Organizations provide these presentations and/or training materials as a service. The Sponsoring Organizations make no representations or warranties, express or implied, with respect to the presentations and/or training materials, or any part thereof, including any warrantees of title, non-infringement of copyright or patent rights of others, merchantability, or fitness or suitability for any purpose.

Artificial L

R&D Coun

Thank You & Questions

A. Stan Logie

- 28 years Production Engineering and gas lift
 - Senior District Engineer
 - Chevron/Texaco
 - Energen Resources

PRESIDENT/ Petroleum Engineer

539 W. Commerce St Suite 2552 Dallas TX 75208 Cell: 432-827-2353 E-mail: stan@logieglc.com

Linkedin.com/IN/STAN LOGIE www.LogieGLC.com

- Schlumberger Gas Lift, March 2018
 - Lead Engineer/Technical Advisor

27

Artificial Lift R&D Council